Registered No. M.—1175.

87

The Mysore Gazette.

Vol. 57.] PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY. [No. 4.

BANGALORE, THURSDAY, JANUARY 26, 1922.

PART IV.

NOTIFICATIONS BY THE HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

IN THE CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE.

Notification dated 11*th January* 1922.

Under the provisions of Part X of the Code of Civil Procedure and with the previous sanction of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, the Chief Court has made the following addition to the First Schedule of the Code of Civil Procedure, *viz* :—

Add the following rules to Order VII, Civil Procedure Code :—

"19. Every plaint or original petition shall be accompanied by a statement signed by the plaintiff or petitioner as the case may be, and containing an address at which service of notices, summonses or other processes may be made upon him. Plaintiffs or petitioners subsequently added shall immediately on being so added file a statement of the same nature.

20. An address for service filed under the preceding rule shall be within the local limits of the District Court within which the suit or petition is filed, or of the District Court within which the party ordinarily resides, if within the limits of Mysore State.

21. Where a plaintiff or petitioner fails to file an address for service, he shall be liable to have his suit dismissed or his petition rejected by the Court *suo motu* or any party may apply for an order to that effect, and the Court may make such order as it thinks just.

22. Where a party is not found at the address given by him for service and no agent or adult male member of his family on whom a notice or process can be served, is present, a copy of the notice or process shall be affixed to the outer door of the house. If on the date fixed such party is not present, another date shall be fixed and a copy of the notice, summons or other process shall be sent to the registered address by *registered post*, and such service shall be deemed to be as effectual as if the notice or process had been personally served.

23. Where a party engages a pleader, notices or processes for service on him shall be served in the manner prescribed by Order III, rule 5, unless the court directs service at the address for service given by the party.

24. A party who desires to change the address for service given as aforesaid shall file a verified statement containing the desired alteration, and the court may direct the amendment of the record accordingly. Notice of the amendment shall be given to such other parties to the suit as the court may deem it necessary to inform and may be either served upon the pleaders for such parties or be sent to them by registered post, as the court thinks fit.

25. Nothing in these rules shall prevent the court from directing the service of a notice or process in any other manner, if, for any reasons, it thinks fit to do so.

26. Nothing in these rules shall apply to the notice prescribed by Order XXI, rule 22."

Add the following rules to Order VIII, Civil Procedure Code:—

"11. Every party, whether original, added or substituted, who intends to appear and defend any suit or original petition shall on or before the date fixed in the summons or notice served on him as the date of hearing, file in court a statement signed by him and containing an address at which services of notices, summonses or other processes may be made on him, and if he fails so to do he shall be liable to have his defence, if any, struck out and to be placed in the same position as if he had not defended. In this respect the court may act *suo motu* or on the application of any party for an order to such effect, and the court may make such order as it thinks just.

12. Rules 20, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of Order VII shall apply so far as may be to addresses for service filed under the preceding rule."

Notification D2 Dis. No. 34 of 1921-22.

Under section 123 (4) of the Code of Civil Procedure 1911, the Chief Judge of the Chief Court of Mysore is pleased to appoint Mr. C. Krishna Murti, Advocate, Bangalore, to be a member of the Rule Committee constituted under Chief Court Notification D2 Dis. No. 1165 of 1919-20, dated the 8th April 1920, which continues to exist till 8th April 1922, *vice* Mr. R. N. Aingar who has ceased to reside in Mysore.

G. SREENIVASA IYER,
Registrar.

IN THE COURT OF THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE AT BANGALORE.

Insolvency Application No. 26 of 1919-20

Sankaraiya Setti, son of Venkatanarasappa, for the present resident of Mysore ... ... *Petitioner*

Pursuant to a petition dated 27th February 1920, against 1. The Bangalore Bank and 13 others, and on the application of Sankaraiya Setty, and on reading the same and hearing the opponents, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 6th day of January 1922.

Creditors to prove their debts on 20th January 1922.

S. VENKATAKRISHNAIYA,
Subordinate Judge.

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF AT KOLAR.

Insolvency Application No. 5 of 1921-22.

Venkatappa *alias* Nyathoda, son of Sunnakolla Gurappa, Kolar ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

1. Kinnaji, 2. G. Venkatesaih, 3. Ramasastri, 4. K. Sivaramiah, 5. Maluu Sankaraiah, 6. Rangiah, 7. Syed Osman, 8. Gopalappa. all are residing at Kolar ... ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition dated 15th August 1921 against opponents, and on the application of Venkatappa *alias* Nyathoda, the petitioner and on reading the same and hearing the petitioner, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 12th day of January 1922.

Creditors will prove their debts on 9th February 1922.

B. RANGA IYENGAR,
Munsiff.

COURT OF THE SPECIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE, SHIMOGA.

Notification dated 20th January 1922.

The articles noted below, remaining in the custody of the Sorab Taluk Police in connection with Mis. Case No. 36 of 1921-22 are to be disposed of.

2. Persons who have any right to the same may appear before the undersigned and establish their claims within six months from this date, else the property will be at the disposal of the Government and sold and the price credited to Government.

48 seers rice in two bags.

S. KRISHNA RAO,
1st Class Magistrate.

HASSAN DISTRICT.

Memo No. D2. Dis. 103-21—J., dated 16th January 1922.

In modification of this Office Notification No. D2. Dis 93-21—J., dated the 17th ultimo, it is ordered that Mr. Abdul Razack, Proprietor Dairy Farm will sit as a Magistrate in the Court of the Bench of Magistrates, Arsikere Taluk, from 17th January 1922, to 31st March 1922, or until further orders in the place of Mr. Khasim Sait, Honorary Magistrate who has applied for leave.

B. VENKOBA RAO,
District Magistrate.

SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

Memorandum J. C. 337—21 22, dated Camp Ananthapur, 19th January 1922.

In modification of this Office Memo No J. C. 337 of 20-21 dated 27th December 1921, directing the constitution of the Bench in the several Bench Courts in the district during the half-year ending 30th June 1922, the following alteration is ordered so far as the Bench Court at Kumsi is concerned:—

January and February 1922. *For* Mr. Abdul Rahiman, *substitute* Mr. Isuff Khan.

March and April 1922. *For* Mr. Isuff Khan, *substitute* Mr. Abdul Rahiman.

G. ARAVAMUDU IYENGAR,
District Magistrate.

KADUR DISTRICT.

Notification No. J. C. 315—1921-22, dated 21st January 1922.

In modification of this office Notification No. D2 Dis. 142—1921-22, dated the 22nd December 1921, so far as it relates to the Bench Court, Sringeri, the undermentioned Honorary Magistrates will constitute the Bench during the half-year ending 30th June 1922, as Mr. K. Sundara Sastri has resigned his place :—

January 1922—
Mr. Lingayyasetty (Chairman).
„ Annayya Heggade.
„ Puttayyasetty.

February 1922—
Mr. Lingayyasetty (Chairman).
„ Annayya Heggade.
„ A. Seshachelasetty.

March 1922—
Mr. Lingayyasetty (Chairman).
„ A. Seshachelasetty.
„ A. Subramanya Sastri.

April 1922—
Mr. A. Subramanya Sastri (Chairman).
„ A. Seshashelasetty.
„ K. Kesavabhatta.

May 1922—
Mr. A. Subramanya Sastri (Chairman).
„ K. Kesavabhatta.
„ C. Puttayyasetty.

June 1922—
Mr. K. Kesavabhatta (Chairman).
„ C. Puttayyasetty.
„ M. Mahadeva Rao.

K. MYLARI RAO,
District Magistrate.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Notification dated 13—17th January 1922.

Under Article 171 of the Mysore Service Regulations, Mr. C. Santanam Iyengar, Amildar, Hiriyur Taluk, was granted privilege leave of absence for two days on the 9th and 10th November 1921.

The Taluk Sheristedar was in charge of the Taluk, in addition to his own duties, during the above period.

K. R. SRINIVASIENGAR,
Revenue Commissioner.